# **Coherent-diffraction imaging of single nanowires of diameter 95 nanometers**

Vincent Favre-Nicolin,<sup>1,2[,\\*](#page-3-0)</sup> Joël Eymery,<sup>1</sup> Robert Koester,<sup>1</sup> and Pascal Gentile<sup>1</sup>

1 *CEA, INAC, SP2M, 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble Cedex 9, France*[†](#page-3-1)

<sup>2</sup>*Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble France*

Received 22 September 2008; revised manuscript received 18 December 2008; published 4 May 2009-

Photonic or electronic confinement effects in nanostructures become significant when one of their dimension is in the 5–300 nm range. Improving their development requires the ability to study their structure-shape, strain field, and interdiffusion maps. We have used coherent-diffraction imaging to record the three-dimensional scattered intensity of single silicon nanowires with a lateral size smaller than 100 nm. We show that this intensity can be used to recover the hexagonal shape of the nanowire with a 28 nm resolution. This paper also discusses the limits of the method in terms of radiation damage.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.79.195401](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.195401)

PACS number(s): 61.46.Km, 61.05.cp, 62.23.Hj, 42.30.Rx

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Vertical semiconductor nanowires (NWs) are developed as new nanoscale building blocks for future electronic and photonic devices. For all applications, the properties depend strongly on the individual crystal structure and the average characteristics of the assembly of NWs. For some applications (resonant sensors and optical microcavities), device operation requires precise and matching characteristics (such as diameters, longitudinal insertions, or core/shell thicknesses) on many NWs. To characterize the structural properties of these new materials, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) is a standard tool that gives accurate information about individual objects when they are small  $(diameter < 20 nm)$ .

X-ray diffraction is also a choice method to study nanostructures, $\frac{1}{x}$  particularly when chemical sensitivity (using anomalous scattering<sup>2</sup>) or strain mapping is required. But there is a limited number of x-ray diffraction studies on nanowires $3-5$  due to the fact that for many samples it is not possible to perform a quantitative study on an *assembly* of NWs: lack of an epitaxial relationship between the substrate and the vertical NWs, too large angular distribution of the wires, etc. In this case, it is required to conduct diffraction experiments on *single NWs*. To this end, coherent-diffraction imaging (CDI) has been developed during the last few  $years:6$  $years:6$  in this method, scattering from a single object is recorded around one Bragg peak, and the recorded threedimensional (3D) data can be inverted to recover the shape of the scattering object. State of the art recent results show that it is now possible to study objects down to a few hundreds of nm in size, and more importantly that CDI allows to probe deformation inside a nanocrystal.<sup>6</sup> Existing studies have been focused mostly on model materials with heavy atoms to yield a strong scattering of the x-ray beam (e.g., Pb and Au droplets).

In the case of NWs, optical confinement effects begin to occur around 100–300 nm diameter depending on the material) and electronic confinement around  $5-30$  nm.<sup>7,[8](#page-3-8)</sup> It is therefore of particular importance to study *small* wires, with a diameter on the order of 100 nm. To study the possibility of using CDI for the study of sub-100 nm wires, we conducted an experiment with homogeneous nanowires on the ID01 beamline of the European Synchrotron Research Facility.

#### **II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD**

#### **A. Sample growth**

The silicon NWs (see Fig.  $1$ ) were grown by low-pressure (20 mBar) chemical vapor deposition at 650  $\degree$ C via the goldassisted vapor-liquid-solid mechanism on (111) silicon substrate. Au droplets defining the NW size were obtained by dewetting of two-dimensional (2D) layers and silane (15 SCCM) diluted in hydrogen was used as the reactive gas. The advantage of these Si NWs is that they are known to grow with little or no defects—particularly stacking faults along the  $[111]$  direction—which is vital in a CDI experiment since it is required that all atoms diffract in a coherent manner. In the case of faulted NWs, the scattered signal would be vastly different.<sup>5[,9](#page-3-9)</sup>

#### **B. Coherent-diffraction imaging data collection**

During the experiment, the epitaxial wires were removed from the substrate and deposited on another silicon substrate, thus producing a random orientation for all the wires—this method decreases the likelihood that two different wires will diffract simultaneously on the detector for a given orientation of the sample, so that the diffraction of a single wire can be recorded. The sample was placed under helium atmosphere to prevent oxidizing.

The experiment was carried out using an x-ray photon energy equal to 10 keV, using beryllium compound refractive lenses<sup>10</sup> to focus the beam to 8.2  $\times$  13.6  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> (larger than the

<span id="page-0-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Scanning electron microscopy images of a silicon nanowire, with an hexagonal-shaped section. The gold droplet used as a catalyst for the synthesis can be seen at the top of the wire (upper view). The bottom view shows the facets and nanofacetting for the same wire.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 2. (Color online) 3D coherent-diffraction image of a single  $Si(111)$  nanowire shown as a projection of multiple semitransparent isosurface layers, with the intensity increasing logarithmically from blue to green and red (from light to dark gray). The hexagonal symmetry of the wire is visible in the 3D diffraction image. The scale is given for  $k=2 \sin \theta / \lambda$ .

NW lengths), with a photon flux equal to  $4 \times 10^{10}$  ph/s. The scattered intensity was measured using a Roper Scientific (SX-1300B) direct-illumination charge-coupled device (CCD) to obtain a maximum resolution and photon-counting efficiency.<sup>11</sup> This detector presents 20  $\mu$ m pixels and was placed at 743 mm from the sample.

In order to collect the 3D scattered intensity around one Si (111) Bragg peak, the detector was placed at the Bragg angle corresponding to the reflection, and the sample was then translated and/or rotated until one NW diffracted on the detector. Goniometer translations were used to ensure that the NW remains at the intersection of the x-ray beam and of the horizontal rotation axis. The complete scattering was then recorded by rotating the sample over a 1° angular range with 0.01° steps to yield a 3D pattern, which is then projected to an orthonormal frame of reference in reciprocal space (see Ref. [12](#page-4-0) for details on the conversion between detector and reciprocal space coordinates); a view of the corrected 3D pattern is shown in Fig. [2.](#page-1-0)

# **III. PHASE RETRIEVAL AND RECONSTRUCTION OF THE NW SHAPE**

#### **A. Theory**

In the case of a single nonstrained nano-object subjected to an incoming coherent plane wave, the scattered amplitude *A* is equal to

$$
A(\mathbf{k}) = F(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{FT}[\Omega(\mathbf{r})],\tag{1}
$$

where  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k_f} - \mathbf{k_i}$  is the scattering vector near the  $\mathbf{k_{Bragg}}$  Bragg position,  $F(\mathbf{k})$  is the structure factor of a single unit cell,  $FT[\Omega(r)]$  is the Fourier transform (FT) of the shape function  $\Omega(r)$  of the nanocrystal, i.e., a function which is equal to 1 inside the crystal and  $0$  outside.<sup>13</sup> As the structure factor is slowly varying near an existing Bragg peak, we can further assume that  $F(\mathbf{k}) \approx F(\mathbf{k}_{\text{Bragg}})$  and therefore that the scattered amplitude is the 3D FT of the nanocrystal's shape—or equivalently to its electronic density profile.

During a CDI experiment only the square modulus of the amplitude is collected, and all phase information is lost. It is however possible to recover the lost phases using *a priori* information on the object—in our case the inverse FT of the scattered amplitude corresponds to the projection of the electronic density of the sample and must be real, *positive*, *compact*, and *finite sized*. These criteria have been used in a number of iterative phase retrieval algorithms $14-17$  to recover the phase corresponding to each point of the 3D reciprocal space.

### **B. Inversion using "model" phases**

In our case, the recorded intensity shown in Fig. [2](#page-1-0) exhibits a clear sixfold symmetry, which suggests that the original  $\langle 111 \rangle$  wire was hexagonally shaped, as exhibited on most wires by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Furthermore, the calculation of the FT of an hexagonal shape reveals that the phase is either 0 or  $\pi$  (due to the presence of a center of symmetry), as shown in Fig. [3,](#page-2-0) and presents concentric hexagonal-shaped rings with *alternated*  $0/\pi$  phases. In order to reconstruct the shape of the NW 2D cross section, $18$  we projected the recorded intensity onto the plane perpendicular to the wire and applied an alternated sign  $(+1/-1)$  to the concentric rings [see Fig.  $4(a)$  $4(a)$ ] and then computed the inverse FT to yield the shape of the NW, which can be seen in Fig.  $4(b)$  $4(b)$ .

The obtained shape of the NW exhibits the expected hexagonal symmetry. In order to check that the "alternating  $0/\pi$ phases" was the correct solution to the lost phases, we checked [see Fig.  $4(c)$  $4(c)$ ] that the real part of the inverse FT was strictly positive inside the object and much larger than the imaginary part (ratio  $\frac{\text{Real}}{\text{Image}} > 50$ ), which validates the phase choice. Note that this outcome was not guaranteed, as the original data were not *strictly* centrosymmetric, and no attempt (e.g., by symmetry averaging) was made to modify this.

The size full width at half maximum [(FWHM) distance between opposite faces of the wire is  $\approx 95$  nm, with a resolution of about 28 nm (calculated from  $\Delta k_{\text{max}}$  $=0.035$  nm<sup>-1</sup>) for the reconstruction; the NW appears to be slightly elongated in one direction, which may be due to an asymmetric NW growth, probably due to the original seed combined with kinetic limitations. In the extracted density map shown in Fig.  $4(b)$  $4(b)$ , we observe a variation amounting up to 20% from the average value. This is very likely due to the limited extent of the recorded intensity: only three interference orders  $(0,1,2)$  have been recorded due to the small size of the NW and the weak scattering power of the silicon atoms, which both limits the real-space resolution and can create ripples inside the reconstructed density. A simulation of the effect of truncated data is presented in Fig. [3](#page-2-0) and has allowed us to reproduce the electronic density dips Fig.  $4(d)$  $4(d)$ ]. Another source for the limited resolution on the sides of the NW comes from the zig-zag nature of the NW facets (see Fig. [1](#page-0-0)), which blurs the average density on the NW borders.

#### **C. Inversion using iterative phase retrieval algorithms**

In order to check that the reconstructed structure using alternating phases was the best possible, we also performed

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 3. (Color) Simulation of the truncation effect on the reconstruction of a NW shape. (a) Original hexagonal shape of the NW; (b) FT of the NW shape: as the original shape is centrosymmetric, the FT is real, here depicted with positive regions in red and negative in blue; (c) truncation of the FT and (d) resulting NW shape calculated by inverse FT, in which dips (representing  $\approx$  15% of the average density) can be clearly seen due to the truncation.

an *ab initio* reconstruction using a combination of the errorreduction, hybrid input/output, and charge flipping algorithms, using finite support and positivity as constraints, following the process depicted in Ref. [17.](#page-4-3) The best set of phases that we obtained<sup>19</sup> [see Figs.  $4(d) - 4(f)$  $4(d) - 4(f)$ ] has an *R* factor<sup>17</sup> equal to 0.7%, and features the expected alternating phases. The reconstructed NW shape also presents a quasihexagonal shape, thus confirming the results of the inversion using "model" phases.

#### **IV. EFFECT OF RADIATION DAMAGE**

One expected problem when studying single nanosized objects using an x-ray beam is radiation damage: this is a well-known issue for macromolecular compounds, $20,21$  $20,21$  with a dose limit estimated to  $2.10^7$  Gy  $(1 \text{ Gy}=1 \text{ J/kg})$ . In the case of inorganic compounds, there is no acknowledged dose limit, as the compounds do not present the specific weak bonds  $(-CO_2, S-S)$  that are likely to break under irradiation.

We have however observed that the NW can break under the x-ray beam, as is illustrated in Fig. [5.](#page-3-12) We measured the scattering from a single wire by rotating the sample over a 0.8° angular range with 0.02° steps and a 50 s exposure time per image. This was repeated four times in order to accumulate more statistics, and the evolution of the projection of the 3D recorded scattering is shown in Fig. [5.](#page-3-12) During this measurement, the flux of the experiment was equal to

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

FIG. 4. (Color) The real shape (the projection of the electronic density) of the NW can be reconstructed from the measured scattered amplitude by recovering the lost phase information either by *predicting* the  $[(a) - (c)]$  phase values or by using  $[(d) - (f)]$  *iterative phase* retrieval algorithms (see text for details). (a) Projection of the experimental scattered amplitude, with model phases—the saturation corresponds to the logarithm of the intensity and the color to the phase. Relative coordinates around the (111) reflection are given in  $k=2 \sin \theta / \lambda$  units. (b) NW density cross section obtained by inverse Fourier transform of (a); the distance between opposite facets is equal to  $\approx$ 95 nm. (c) Density profiles along the horizontal ( $\rho_x$ ) and vertical ( $\rho_y$ ) directions, which demonstrate (the real components being positive and the imaginary one being negligible) that the choice of alternating  $(+)$  and  $(-)$  signs for successive rings is correct. The dips of the density inside the NW are due to the limited extent of the intensity scattered by the silicon NW. (d) Experimental amplitude with the phase recovered using *ab initio* Fourier-recycling algorithms. (e) The best reconstruction obtained and (f) the corresponding cross sections (positivity is imposed in the algorithm).

<span id="page-3-12"></span>

FIG. 5. (Color online) Effect of radiation damage:  $[(a)-(c)]$  evolution of the 3D diffraction pattern of a single Si NW around the (111) reflection for successive scans, with a total exposure time of 4000 s per scan (a fourth scan is not presented here). The projection is presented in the direction perpendicular to the NW axis, using a logarithmic color (grayscale) scheme. The short vertical oscillations are due to the finite length of the wire and almost disappear in later scans due to the damage to the NW. The fringes which can be seen parallel to the diagonal of the image correspond to the FT of the NW cross section, as seen in Fig. [2](#page-1-0) for another wire. The split of the main diffraction peak could be due to the breaking of the NW: (d) simulated scattered amplitude around the (111) reflection of a broken wire, with two parts with an equal length of 400 nm along  $\langle 111 \rangle$  and a width of 105 nm along  $\langle 110 \rangle$ , with a separation between the two parts equal to half the interplanar distance  $d_{111}$ . This separation leads to two maxima at the  $(111)$ reflection position.

 $\approx$  4 ph/s/Å<sup>2</sup>. Given the silicon absorption cross section  $\sigma_{E=10 \text{ keV}} = 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Å}^2$ , this results in an absorbed power per atom of 0.6 eV/s, or equivalently  $2 \times 10^6$  Gy/s, i.e., a total dose of  $8 \times 10^9$  Gy per scan.

During the experiment, the absorbed energy is not accumulated and can be evacuated through fluorescence, thermal radiation, or conductivity; but point defects will nevertheless be created in the sample. The presence of already existing defects (such as a stacking fault—a common occurrence in NW,<sup>22</sup> point defects, or dislocations) can however be considered as a "weak" part of the structure, which could lead to a broken wire as exhibited in Fig. [5.](#page-3-12) However, new experiments (e.g., with a more focused and intense beam) would be necessary to quantify the relationship between the dose, existing faults, and the effects of radiation damage to a NW.

#### **V. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that it was possible to use coherent-diffraction imaging on single  $\approx 100$  nm NW to recover their shape with a  $\approx$  28 nm resolution, even in the case of weak scatterers such as silicon. Evidently the interest of this result does not lie in the reconstruction of the shape of homogeneous NW, since the scanning and transmission electron microscopy routinely yields the external shape of nanosized object with a better resolution. However, this technique will be particularly useful in the case of heterogeneous NW, i.e., with longitudinal or radial (core-shell) heterostructures: these types of NW exhibit strain fields and chemical gradients at the interface for which x-ray diffraction remains the most sensitive technique and will be the focus of upcoming experiments. Such an experiment would allow to recover the 3D complex field describing both the density and the distortion of the lattice, as depicted in Ref. [6.](#page-3-6)

Moreover, constant progress is made for x-ray optics in order to improve the coherence and flux on synchrotron beamlines; e.g., as of the writing of this paper the focused beam size on the ESRF ID01 beamline is much smaller  $(10^{10} \text{ ph/s in } 2.5 \times 0.5 \text{ }\mu\text{m}^2)$ , yielding a flux 20 times more intense than during our experiment, which should allow to study smaller NWs with a better resolution.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work has been partially performed under the EU program NODE under Grant No. 015783. The authors would like to thank the ID01 ESRF beamline for their technical help and acknowledge Ian Robinson for helpful discussions.

\*vincent.favre-nicolin@cea.fr

<span id="page-3-0"></span>† URL: http://inac.cea.fr/sp2m/

- <span id="page-3-1"></span><sup>1</sup> J. Stangl, V Holý, and G. Bauer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 725  $(2004).$
- <span id="page-3-2"></span><sup>2</sup>A. Letoublon, V. Favre-Nicolin, H. Renevier, M. G. Proietti, C. Monat, M. Gendry, O. Marty, and C. Priester, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 186101 (2004).
- <span id="page-3-3"></span><sup>3</sup> J. Eymery, F. Rieutord, V. Favre-Nicolin, O. Robach, Y.-M. Niquet, L. Fröberg, T. Martensson, and L. Samuelson, Nano Lett. 7, 2596 (2007).
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>4S. O. Mariager, C. B. Sørensen, M. Aagesen, J. Nygård, R. Feidenhans'l, and P. R. Willmott, Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 083106 (2007).
- <span id="page-3-5"></span>5V. Chamard, J. Stangl, S. Labat, B. Mandl, R. T. Lechner, and
- T. H. Metzger, J. Appl. Crystallogr. **41**, 272 (2008).
- 6M. Pfeifer, G. Williams, I. Vartanyants, R. Harder, and I. Robinson, Nature (London) 442, 63 (2006).
- <span id="page-3-6"></span>7Y. Li, F. Qian, J. Xiang, and C. M. Lieber, Mater. Today **9**, 18  $(2006).$
- <span id="page-3-7"></span><sup>8</sup>P. J. Pauzauskie and P. Yang, Mater. Today 9, 36 (2006).
- <span id="page-3-9"></span><span id="page-3-8"></span> $9$ We have also studied the case of GaAs/GaP [111] NWs which are known for their stacking faults along the growth direction. In such a case, the CDI signal recorded is essentially be a function of the faults nature and distribution along the NW axis, instead of the shape and elastic deformation of the wire.
- 10A. Snigirev, V. Kohn, I. Snigireva, A. Souvorov, and B. Lengeler, Appl. Opt. 37, 653 (1998).
- <span id="page-3-11"></span><span id="page-3-10"></span>11F. Livet, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr. **63**, 87

 $(2007).$ 

- 12V. Favre-Nicolin, S. Bos, J. E. Lorenzo, P. Bordet, W. Shepard, and J.-L. Hodeau, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 33, 52 (2000).
- <span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span><sup>13</sup>Note that this approach is only correct as long as the crystal consists of complete unit cells—a reasonable approximation as long as the dimensions of the wire are much larger than the unit-cell parameters.
- <sup>14</sup> R. W. Gerchberg and W. O. Saxton, Optik **35**, 237 (1972).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span><sup>15</sup> J. R. Fienup, Appl. Opt. **21**, 2758 (1982).
- 16S. Marchesini, H. He, H. N. Chapman, S. P. Hau-Riege, A. Noy, M. R. Howells, U. Weierstall, and J. C. H. Spence, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 140101(R) (2003).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span><sup>17</sup> J. S. Wu and J. C. H. Spence, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found.

Crystallogr. **61**, 194 (2005).

- <sup>18</sup>As the NW is much longer (several  $\mu$ m vs  $\approx$  100 nm), the FT of its shape is essentially 2D.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span><span id="page-4-4"></span><sup>19</sup>The optimization used 200 to 400 cycles, with a fixed square support more than twice the size of the NW and was repeated 400 times to avoid stagnation.
- 20S. Marchesini, H. N. Chapman, S. P. Hau-Riege, R. A. London, and A. Szoke, Opt. Express 11, 2344 (2003).
- <span id="page-4-6"></span><sup>21</sup> J. W. Murray, E. F. Garman, and R. B. G. Ravelli, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 37, 513 (2004).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span><span id="page-4-7"></span>22M. A. Verheijen, G. Immink, T. de Smet, M. T. Borgström, and E. P. A. M. Bakkers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **128**, 1353 (2006).